

Proudly Operated by Battelle Since 1965

### **Convection Permitting Modeling of Mesoscale Convective Systems in North America**

L. Ruby Leung, Zhe Feng, Koichi Sakaguchi and Robert A. Houze, Jr.

Atmospheric Sciences and Global Change Division, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, WA



# Modeling MCSs and their large-scale environment

- Most climate models with parameterized convection fail to represent MCSs, as reflected in precipitation biases
- Three modeling approaches with computational requirements within reach:
  - Limited area models
  - Global variable resolution models
  - Multiscale modeling framework (MMF)









### **Convection permitting modeling using MPAS**

- In a variable resolution domain with a transition across the grey zone, a scale-aware convection parameterization is needed to represent deep convection outside the convection permitting region
- Use initialized hindcasts to compare simulations:
  - Zhang and McFarlane (ZM) not scale aware
  - Grell-Freitas (GF) scale aware
  - No convection parameterization (CP)







### **Numerical experiments**

- MPAS with CAM5.4 and CLM4
- Three variable resolution configurations: 25-100 km, 12-46 km, and 4-32 km
- Hindcast simulations: 7 days, with first 2 days removed from analysis of each 5-day nonoverlapping segments
- Initial conditions: atmosphere (ERAI), land (from a continuous climate run)
- Simulations: April and August for contrasting spring and summer





Proudly Operated by **Battelle** Since 1965

## **MCSs in April**



-90

1.5

Large precipitation events and some MCSs embedded within are well captured even at 12 km and 25 km resolution

#### 4 km 25 km Obs 12 km 25 km Obs 4 km 12 km 2011 2011 (b) MPAS (4 km) (c) MPAS (12 km) (d) MPAS (25 km) (a) Obs (4 km) (b) MPAS (4 km) (c) MPAS (12 km) (d) MPAS (25 km) (a) Obs (4 km 04/30 64/30 04/30 04/30 04/30 04/30 04/30 64/29 04/29 04/29 04/29 04/29 04/29 04/29 04/29 04/28 04/28 and the -04/28 04/28 04/28 04/28 64/58 04/27 04/27 04/27 04/27 04/27 04/27 04/27 04/27 04/26 04/26 04/26 04/26 04/26 04/26 04/26 04/26 04/25 04/25 04/25 04/25 04/25 04/25 04/25 04/25 04/24 04/24 04/24 04/24 04/24 04/24 04/24 04/24 04/23 04/23 04/23 14.04 04/23 04/23 64/25 04/23 04/22 04/22 04/22 04/22 04/22 04/22 04/22 04/22 06/21 04/21 04/21 04/21 04/21 04/21 04/21 04/21 04/20 04/20 04/20 04/20 04/20 04/20 04/20 04/20 04/19 04/19 04/19 04/19 04/19 04/19 04/19 04/19 04/18 04/18-04/18 04/18 21/12 04/18 04218 144/14 04/17 04/17 04/17 04/1 04/17 04/17 04/17 04/17 04/16 04/16 04/16 04/16 04/16 04/16 04/16 04/15 04/15 04/15 04/15 04/15 04/15 04/15 04/14 04/14 04/14 04/14 04/14 04/14 04/14 04/13 04/13 -04/13 04/13 64/55 04/13 04/12 04/12 04/12 64/12 04/12 04/12 04/12 04/11 04/11 04/11 04/11 04/11 04/1 04/11 04/11 04/10 04/10 04/10 04/10 04/10 04/10 04/10 04/09 04/09 04/09 04/09 04/09 04/09 04/09 04/08 04/08-04.08 -04/08 04/08 64/08 04/08 04/07 04/07 04/07 04/07 04/07 64/07 04/07 04/07 04/06 04/06 04/06 04/05 04/08 04/06 04/06 04/06 04/05 04/05 04/05 04/05 64/05 04/05 04/05 04/04 04/04 04/04 04/04 04/04 04/04 04/04 04/03 04/03 04/03 04/03 04/03 04/03 04/03 04/02 04/02 04/02 04/02 64/02 04/02 04/02 04/01 04/01 04/01 04/01 04/01 04/01 04/01 -100 -100 -100 -110 100 -90 -70 -110 100 -90 -110 -100 -90 -70 -110 -100 -90 -80 -70 -110 -90 -150-90 -80 -70 -110 -90 Longitude Longitude Longitude Longitude Longitude Longitude Longitude Longitude 0.0 0.5 1.0 1.5 2.0 0.0 0.5 1.0 1.5 2.0 0.0 0.5 1.0 1.5 2.0 0.0 0.5 1.0 1.0 1.5 20 1.0 1.5 2.0 0.0 1.0 1.5 2.0 0.0 1.0 1.5 2.0 0.5 0.5 MCS Rain (mm h<sup>-1</sup>) MCS Rain (mm h<sup>-1</sup>) MCS Flain (mm h<sup>-1</sup>) MCS Rain (mm h<sup>-1</sup>) Rain imm h<sup>-1</sup> Rain (mm h-Bain (mm h<sup>-1</sup> Rain (mm h<sup>-1</sup>

### **Total precipitation**

**MCS precipitation** 

### **MCSs in August**



MCSs are much weaker in August; simulations at 4 km are significantly better in capturing the propagating events than simulations at 12 km and 25 km resolution



### **MCSs in August**



Turning off the convection scheme increases the number of MCSs in the simulations at 12 km and 25 km resolution (MCS detection criteria are relaxed)



### **An MCS event in April**



Proudly Operated by Battelle Since 1965

Strong synoptic scale forcing associated with baroclinic waves, strong LLJ and moisture supply from the Gulf

Contour: 500 hPa geopotential Vector: 900 hPa wind Red shading: MCS cloud shield Color shading: precipitation



2011-04-15T00:00 UTC

May 23, 2018 8

## **An MCS event in August**



Proudly Operated by Battelle Since 1965

9

High pressure over the Great Plains

Weaker nocturnal LLJ

Convection initiates ahead of shortwave trough, feeding from LLJ and propagate along the ridge

Contour: 500 hPa geopotential Vector: 900 hPa wind Red shading: MCS cloud shield Color shading: precipitation



## **Large-scale environment for MCSs**



Using self-organizing map (SOM) to determine the dominant large-scale environment of MCSs in ERAI - much weaker large-scale environment for MCSs during JJA than MAM

#### MAM JJA Percent: 30.09% Node <sup>-</sup> Percent: 22,99% Node 0 Node 0 Percent: 25.34% Node 1 Percent: 25 95% 60N 60N 60N 40N 40N 20N 20N 20N 20N 120W 90W 60W 120W 90W 60W 120W 90W 60W 120W 90W 60W Node 2 Percent: 24.58% Node 3 Percent: 23.98% Percent: 21.80% Node 3 Percent: 24.88% Node 2 60N 60N 60N 40N 40N 40N 20N 20N 20N 20N 120W 90W 60W 120W 90W 60W 120W 6010 120W 90W 60W 10

### 925 hPa wind and moisture anomaly of four SOM nodes

### **Large-scale environment for MCSs**



Lower predictability of the large-scale environment in August than April for the first 5 days

#### RMSE of 500 hPa height comparing MPAS with ERAI



### **Testing a scale-aware parameterization: Grell-Freitas convection scheme**



#### **Total precipitation**

4-32km without CP

#### 30km with ZM scheme



#### 50°N 45°N 40°N 35°N 30°N 25°N 115°W 105°W 95°W 85°W 75°W

#### 4-32km with GF scheme



#### Precipitation produced by shallow convection and CP







### **Evidence of scale-aware behaviors**



GF is inactive

#### **Total precipitation**

#### 30km with ZM scheme

#### 4-32km without CP

#### 4-32km with GF

GF is active



#### Precipitation produced by shallow convection and CP







Regional convection permitting modeling is a viable approach for modeling MCS changes, but multiscale interactions are limited by the one-way coupled modeling framework

We are exploring non-hydrostatic global variable resolution models with regional refinement at convection permitting scale for modeling MCSs and their large-scale environment

MCSs are stronger in spring than summer, supported by stronger synoptic environment – less predictability of MCSs during summer

Simulations with convective parameterization turned off perform better

Scale-aware physics are needed for modeling across the grey zone - the GF scheme shows some promises

### **Continental scale convection permitting regional model reasonably simulates MCS characteristics**



15

WRF simulations over the US at 4 km grid spacing without cumulus parameterization (CP)

Latitude (°N)





### **Environment that supports long-lived MCSs**



Proudly Operated by Battelle Since 1965

The environmental properties favoring MCSs at the time of storm initiation are most prominent for the MCSs that persist for the longest times

MCSs reaching lifetimes of 9 h or more occur closer to the approaching trough than shorter-lived MCSs



# Positive feedback from long-lived MCSs to the environment support their longevity



Proudly Operated by Battelle Since 1965

Long-lived MCSs produce a midlevel circulation anomaly that maintains the MCSs and strengthens the environmental trough



10<sup>-1</sup> 10<sup>0</sup> Rain (mm h<sup>-1</sup>)

## Sensitivity to microphysics parameterizations and implications for MCS lifetime and precipitation



Through impacts on diabatic heating, cloud microphysics parameterizations have important effects on cloud macrophysical properties such as MCS lifetime and precipitation



### To use or not to use convection scheme?



**Pacific Northwest** 

NATIONAL LABORATORY
Proudly Operated by Battelle Since 1965

