



GLACE-CMIP5 experiment: Update

Sonia I. Seneviratne¹, Bart van den Hurk², Micah Wilhelm¹, Tanja Stanelle¹, Stefan Hagemann³, Alexis Berg^{4,5}, Frederique Cheruy⁶, Matthew Higgins⁷, Ruth Lorenz8Arndt Meier⁹, Victor Brovkin³, Martin Claussen³, Agnès Ducharne⁹, Jean-Louis Dufresne⁶, Kirsten L. Findell⁴, Joséfine Ghattas¹⁰, David M. Lawrence⁷, Sergey Malyshev¹¹, Andy Pitman, Markku Rummukainen⁸, and Ben Smith¹²

(1) ETH Zurich, Switzerland

- (2) KNMI, The Netherlands
- (3) MPI-Met, Germany
- (4) GFDL, USA
- (5) Rutgers University, USA
- (6) LMD/IPSL, France

- (7) NCAR, USA
- (8) UNSW, Sydney, Australia
- (9) CECR, Lund University, Sweden
- (10) Laboratoire Sisyphe/IPSL, France
- (11) Princeton University, USA
- (12) Geography, Lund University, Sweden

GLACE-CMIP5

Aims of GLACE-CMIP5:

Investigate effects of changes in soil moisture content and soil moistureclimate coupling in future climate

Contribution to climate change signal?









Soil moisture (point in Central Europe)

GLACE-CMIP5 investigates the impact of **decadal changes in soil moisture** on climate

(Focus on climatechange projections ≠ GLACE-1 and GLACE-2: Focus on sub-seasonal and seasonal forecasting)

(Seneviratne et al. 2013, GRL)

Experiment #1A: GC1A85

- Rerun AR5 climate change projections for RCP8.5/4.2 (i.e. concentrationsdriven) with seasonal cycle of soil moisture set to 1971-2000 climatology
- SST and sea ice: Prescribed from "master" simulation
- Atmospheric CO₂: *Prescribed* (4.2)
- Transient land use: *Prescribed* from "master" simulation

Experiment #1B: GC1B85

• Same as #1A with seasonal cycle of soil moisture set to *transient* climatology (running mean over 30-year period; in first 15 years use 1950-1979 climatology, in last 15 years use 2071-2100)

Experiment #1A: GC1A85

- Rerun AR5 climate change projections for RCP8.5/4.2 (i.e. concentrationsdriven) with seasonal cycle of soil moisture set to 1971-2000 climatology
- SST and sea ice: Prescribed from "master" simulation
- Atmospheric CO₂: *Prescribed* (4.2)
- Transient land use: *Prescribed* from "master" simulation

Experiment #1B: GC1B85

• Same as #1A with seasonal cycle of soil moisture set to *transient* climatology (running mean over 30-year period; in first 15 years use 1950-1979 climatology, in last 15 years use 2071-2100)

Experiment #1C (OPTIONAL): GC1C85

- Rerun AR5 climate change projections for RCP8.5/4.2 (i.e. concentrationsdriven) with CO₂ levels for photosynthesis set to 1971-2000 climatology
- SST and sea ice, transient land use: prescribed from "master simulation"

- **Design:** ETH Zurich (Sonia Seneviratne), KNMI (Bart van den Hurk)
- **Database:** ETH Zurich (Martin Hirschi, Micah Wilhelm, Tanja Stanelle, Sonia Seneviratne)
- MPI-ESM: Stefan Hagemann, Victor Brovkin, Martin Claussen
- **CESM:** Dave Lawrence, Matthew Higgins
- EC-Earth: Arndt Meier, Ben Smith, Markku Rummukainen, Bart van den Hurk
- **GFDL:** Alexis Berg, Sergey Malyshev, Kirsten Findell
- IPSL: Frederique Cheruy, Agnès Ducharne, Joséfine Ghattas, Jean-Louis Dufresne
- ACCESS: Ruth Lorenz, Andy Pitman

deral Institute of Technology Zurich



Stronger impacts on Tmax than on daily mean temperature Stronger impacts on temperature extremes (Tmax95) Presence of non local effects (generally downwind)

(Seneviratne et al. 2013, GRL)

∆Temperature [K], JJA



Clear linear scaling between ∆LH and ∆T (with different sensitivities for Tmean, Tmax, and Tmax95)

Effects of up to 2K

(Seneviratne et al. 2013, GRL)

New articles and analyses:

- A. Berg et al.: T-P correlation (J. Climate, 2015)
- W. May et al.: Effects on monsoons (Climate Dynamics, 2015)
- R. Lorenz et al.: Impacts on extreme indices (JGR, 2016)
- A. Berg et al.: Aridity study (Nature Climate Change 2016)
- M. Vogel et al.: Impacts of soil moisture on regional scaling of temperature extremes (submitted to GRL)



LETTERS PUBLISHED ONLINE: 16 MAY 2016 | DOI: 10.1038/NCLIMATE3029

Land-atmosphere feedbacks amplify aridity increase over land under global warming

Alexis Berg^{1*}, Kirsten Findell², Benjamin Lintner³, Alessandra Giannini¹, Sonia I. Seneviratne⁴, Bart van den Hurk⁵, Ruth Lorenz⁶, Andy Pitman⁶, Stefan Hagemann⁷, Arndt Meier⁸, Frédérique Cheruy⁹, Agnès Ducharne¹⁰, Sergey Malyshev¹¹ and P. C. D. Milly¹² Background: Several studies have reported an increase in aridity measured by the aridity index (P/Ep) in climate projections (e.g. Scheff and Frierson 2015, J. Climate; Sherwood and Fu 2014, Science)

NB: Ep vs P is not a good measure of changes in moisture availability (e.g. Roderick et al. 2015, WRR; Milly and Dunne 2016, Nature Climate Change) - However, this is not the topic of the present study... Background: Several studies have reported an increase in aridity measured by the aridity index (P/Ep) in climate projections (e.g. Scheff and Frierson 2015, J. Climate; Sherwood and Fu 2014, Science)

NB: Ep vs P is not a good measure of changes in moisture availability (e.g. Roderick et al. 2015, WRR; Milly and Dunne 2016, Nature Climate Change) - However, this is not the topic of the present study...

Question: What is leading to the strong change in P/Ep? Atmospheric scientists suggest that this can be explained alone by atmospheric and ocean processes...



(Berg et al. 2016, Nature Climate Change)

PERSPECTIVE

doi:10.1038/nature16542

Allowable CO₂ emissions based on regional and impact-related climate targets

Sonia I. Seneviratne¹, Markus G. Donat^{2,3}, Andy J. Pitman^{2,3}, Reto Knutti¹ & Robert L. Wilby⁴

Global temperature targets, such as the widely accepted limit of an increase above pre-industrial temperatures of two degrees Celsius, may fail to communicate the urgency of reducing carbon dioxide (CO_2) emissions. The translation of CO_2 emissions into regional- and impact-related climate targets could be more powerful because such targets are more directly aligned with individual national interests. We illustrate this approach using regional changes in extreme temperatures and precipitation. These scale robustly with global temperature across scenarios, and thus with cumulative CO_2 emissions. This is particularly relevant for changes in regional extreme temperatures on land, which are much greater than changes in the associated global mean.

(Seneviratne et al. 2016, Nature)



Direct link between cumulative CO₂ emissions and climate response

A global T° target can be linked to cumulative emissions target

How about regional changes & impacts?

eral Institute of Technology Zurich

⁽IPCC 2013)



Results:

- Almost linear scaling for multi-model mean (see also Fischer et al. 2014, GRL)
- Pattern independent of emissions scenario!
- Tool to define impactbased targets?

(Seneviratne et al. 2016, Nature)



Results:

- Almost linear scaling for multi-model mean (see also Fischer et al. 2014, GRL)
- Pattern independent of emissions scenario!
- Tool to define impactbased targets?
- How about role of soil moisture feedbacks?

(Seneviratne et al. 2016, Nature)

Scaling for GLACE-CMIP5 experiments



Soil moisture feedbacks (mean projected drying) explain much of the departure from the global mean response for regional temperature extremes in midlatitudes

Global mean temperature increase relative to 1951-1970 [°C]

(Vogel et al., submitted to GRL)

Scaling for GLACE-CMIP5 experiments



(Vogel et al., submitted to GRL)

- High relevance of soil moisture-climate feedbacks for climate change projections
 - Clear effect on temperature diagnosed in simulations, strongest for extreme Tmax values
 - Some effects on precipitation, but more model dependent
- Several further analyses on-going
- GLACE-CMIP5 serves as blueprint for LS3MIP experiment

Correlation of temperature and precipitation



Is the (zero-lag) anticorrelation between temperature and precipitation due to soil moisture feedbacks or cloud control?



(Berg et al. 2015, J. Climate)

GLASS panel meeting

Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule Zürich

Analysis for extreme indices



Confirmation of strong effect of soil moisture-climate feedbacks for temperature extremes in present and future; less clear effects for precipitation extremes

(Lorenz et al. 2016, JGR)



Gray shading: less than 66% model agreement on sign of change Coloured shading: \geq 66% model agreement on sign of change Stippling: \geq 90% model agreement on sign of change

(IPCC 2012, SREX SPM; Seneviratne et al. 2012: http://ipcc-wg2.gov/SREX/)



Differences generally statistically significant for all models for temperature (a-f)

Less robust signal for precipitation, especially for extremes (g-j)

(Seneviratne et al. 2013, GRL)