# Towards including anthropogenic surfaces in ECMWF model: challenge for global EO datasets

Modelling or mapping challenge?

Gianpaolo Balsamo, Emanuel Dutra, Souhail Boussetta, Anton Beljaars, Joaquin Munoz-Sabater, Patricia de Rosnay, Irina Sandu, Nils Wedi, and others

Agricultural land, forest, lakes and rivers are all daily to seasonally managed especially in densely populated area and are exposed to long term changes due to climate-change and societal-pressure forcing, all affecting local whether for key forecasts variables such as near surface temperature. Moreover urban areas are also modifying the diurnal energy and water cycle, exacerbating the impact of extreme events such as heat-waves and flash floods. While coordinated modelling efforts indicate that simple to medium-complexity schemes may represent the main aspects of those surface processes in models, the lack of coordinated activities on the driving EO datasets and their internal consistency is posing a sizeable challenge for including more of the anthropogenic influence in global numerical weather prediction. This talk will cover current efforts to revise EO surface datasets at ECMWF and plans to enhance the use of satellite (eg. skin temperatures) data to validate Earth surface representation.



## Rationale of talk

- Introduction
  - Current status of the ECMWF land surface modelling
  - Needs for representing land-use-chance & non-natural surfaces
- The case for water bodies
  - modelling challenge for input parameters or parameterisation?
- Irrigation
  - Can potential/actual evaporation and irrigation maps be used to simulate irrigation?
- Urban areas and land use change
  - What is the urban fraction? How can we represent LUC? Can we use EO data?
- Conclusion and outlook

# HTESSEL land surface model at ECMWF (2016-2017)



#### **NEW SNOW**

Balsamo et al. (2009) van den Hurk and Viterbo (2003)

Global Soil Texture (FAO)

New hydraulic properties

Variable Infiltration capacity & surface runoff revision

Dutra et al. (2010) Revised snow density

Liquid water reservoir

Revision of Albedo and sub-grid snow cover

**NEW LAI** 

Boussetta et al. (2013)

New satellite-based

Leaf-Area-Index

**SOIL Evaporation** 

#### Balsamo et al. (2011),

#### Albergel et al. (2012)

#### $H_2O/E/CO_2$

Carbon/Energy/Water

Boussetta et al. 2013

Integration of

#### Lake & Coastal area **Enhance ML**

Mironov et al (2010), Dutra et al. (2010), Agusti-Panareda et al. 2015 Extra tile (9) to

LW tiling (Dutra)

Balsamo et al. (2012, 2010) for sub-grid lakes and ice

Dutra et al. (2012, 2016)

Balsamo et al. (2016)

Snow ML5

Soil ML9



# HTESSEL tiling concept to represent sub-grid variability

The tile scheme allows for a simple representation of surface heterogeneity.

#### HTESSEL

Hydrology - Tiled ECMWF

Scheme for Surface Exchanges over Land

+

#### FLAKE (DWD-version)

Fresh water Lake scheme

Each tile has its own skin temperature. The skin temperatures are solved in an Penman-Monteith type energy balance equation including ground heat flux. Fluxes are averaged according to tile fraction



## HTESSEL driving datasets and derived properties

- GLOBCOVER land-sea mask and lake cover
- GLCC vegetation data with 4 fixed fields:
  - Low vegetation cover
  - High vegetation cover
  - Low vegetation type (10 types)
  - High vegetation type (6 types)
- MODIS (c5) Albedo (4-bands) monthly climatology
- MODIS (c5) Leaf Area Index (LAI) monthly climatology
- FAO Soil texture climatology for assigning van Genuchten (1980) hydraulic parameters
- Interception reservoir scales with LAI
- Vegetation-dependent canopy resistance based on Jarvis formulation with dependencies on:
  - Radiation
  - Soil moisture in root zone
  - Atmospheric water vapour deficit (for high vegetation only)
  - Seasonal LAI (divides stomatal resistance)
- Vegetation-type dependent parameters:
  - minimal stomatal resistance,
  - Roughness lengths for momentum and heat/moisture
  - Rooting depth
  - Thermal coupling coefficient between canopy and soil
- GLDB v1 lake depth dataset and ETOPO1 bathymetry

## Global surface physiography description: e.g. Lake cover/depth

Sizeable fraction of land surface has sub-grid lakes: different radiative, thermal roughness characteristics compare to land  $\rightarrow$  affect surface fluxes to the atmosphere

LAKE COVER FRACTION



#### LAKE & SEA BATHYMETRY / NEW OROGRAPHY

land orography and ocean&lakes bathymetry (meters above/below sea-level, cimate.v009, T1279)



N° Points 0.05< lake fraction<0.5



Lake cover & lake bathymetry are among the surface important fields to describe size and volume of the water bodies that are associated to thermal inertia. Physiography has been completely revised in 40R3

source: ESA-GlobCover/GLDBv1

# Energy fluxes: diurnal cycle impact of lakes

Monthly diurnal cycle of energy fluxes for July Very good Net radiation Ground Heat Flux/Lake heat storage representation 500 Model forest 500 by the model of Lake tile Obs forest 400 400 Model lake diurnal cycles Obs lake 300 300 Mironov et al (2010), and 200 200 particularities of Dutra et al. (2010), 100 100 each surface Balsamo et al. (2010, 2012, -100-1002013) -200 -200 HTESSEL -300∟ 0 -300 L 21 12 15 18 24 9 12 15 18 21 3 6 9 3 6 24 LAKEHTESSEL Extra tile (9) to account W/m2 Latent Heat Flux Sensible Heat Flux for sub-grid lakes forest obs Forest 100 100 evaporation is lake obs 50 50 - driven by vegetation, so -50 -50 it is zero at -100-100night Lake SH -150-150 maximum is at Lake LH -200 -200 diurnal cycle: night -250 -250 over-**Forest SH** -300└-0 -300 L estimation in 18 21 24 12 15 18 21 24 3 6 9 12 15 3 6 9 maximum is at Hours evaporation midday

Manrique-Suñén et al. (2013, JHM)

Main difference between lake & forest sites is found in energy partitioning



#### Lake surface temperature verification using satellites

JJA 2015 (91-days AN vs OSTIAlake)

Lake AFRICA	RMSE	BIAS	Correlation	n N	Mean Model	Mean Obs	Stdev Model	Stdev Obs
Victoria_IFS41R1		0.957	0.826	0.491	25.66	5 24.849	0.554415	0.230933
Victoria_IFS40R1		3.157	-3.14	0.328	21.743	3 24.849	0.322463	0.230933
Lake CANADA	RMSE	BIAS	CORR	N	Mean Model	Mean Obs	Stdev Model	Stdev Obs
Great_Bear_IFS41R1		2.875	1.877	0.927	5.22	5 3.368	3.87317	1.96852
Great_Bear_IFS40R1		5.401	4.598	0.894	7.910	5 3.368	4.45394	1.96852
Lake S. AMERICA	RMSE	BIAS	CORR	N	Mean Model	Mean Obs	Stdev Model	Stdev Obs
Titicaca_IFS41R1		0.611	-0.425	0.822	12.322	2 12.742	0.739826	0.482809
Titicaca_IFS40R1		3.804	-3.789	0.752	8.995	5 12.742	0.463688	0.482809
Lake EU	RMSE	BIAS	CORR	N	Mean Model	Mean Obs	Stdev Model	Stdev Obs
Ladoga_IFS41R1		2.45	2.051	0.958	14.20	7 12.178	4.22985	4.60613
Ladoga_IFS40R1		1.443	-0.295	0.984	11.886	6 12.178	3.3881	4.60613
Lake sub-grid EU	RMSE	BIAS	CORR	N	Mean Model	Mean Obs	Stdev Model	Stdev Obs
Haukivesi_IFS41R1		1.706	-0.02	0.807	15.188	8 15.207	2.24239	2.88615
Haukivesi_IFS40R1		2.915	-2.733	0.964	12.504	4 15.207	3.44774	2.88615
				25 —				



#### Improved representation of lake ice melting: Lakes Ladoga and Onega

22 0

ECMWF Old model climatology (no difference in melting)

Case Study of 18 April 2016: The Largest European Lakes: Lake Lagoda & Lake Onega started to melt lake ice, with Faster melting occurring in Ladoga

#### OSI-SAF Satellite Ice cover 18 April 2016:





ECMWF IFS Lake Ice Cover (Ladoga melting faster)



#### Inland water bodies dataset: a moving target?



http://aqua-monitor.appspot.com **C**ECMWF

EUROPEAN CENTRE FOR MEDIUM-RANGE WEATHER FORECASTS

Lake Aral in 1989 and 2014 (source: NASA) 

# Irrigation

 Human action on the land and water use is at present neglected in ECMWF forecast and it is considered among the model errors corrected by the LDAS system

**b** Irrigation area percentage



Irrigated area (b, in %, from Döll and Siebert, 2002)



 Irrigation additional water flux estimated as Epot-Et (optimal) following approach by Wisser at al (2008), de Rosnay et al. (2003)



# Irrigation formulation: a caveat

- Potential evaporation in HTESSEL is calculated as the unstressed evaporation in CY41R1 (PE in plots):
- The surface energy balance solver is called a second time without the soil moisture term in the canopy resistance formulation



$$\begin{split} \lambda E &= \rho \lambda \{q_a - q_{sat}(T_s)\} / (r_a + r_s) \\ H &= \rho C_p \{T_a - T_s\} / r_a \\ r_a &= \{|U|C_H\}^{-1} \end{split}$$

#### E (mm/day)





q-qs (g/kg)

#### PE (mm/day)

• However this generates a too high PE in dry land due to lack of feedback (moisture deficit term)

# Is there a case for urban modelling at global scale?

- Urban areas are important for the accurate prediction of extreme events such as heatwaves and urban flooding and need to be represented in models.
- Best and Grimmond (2015) suggested that simple models may be well adapted to global applications
- Users lives urban areas





#### Urban cover uncertainties



Figure 1. The eight global urban maps and two urban-related maps for Beijing-Tianjin, China (top row), Mumbai, India (second row), Paris, France (third row), Moscow, Russia (fourth row), and Cairo, Egypt (bottom row). LITES, LSCAN and IMPSA are at native 30 arc-second resolution, HYDE3 is at native 5 arc-minutes, and the remaining maps have been aggregated from 30 arc-seconds to 1.5 arc-minutes for display. This aggregation effectively converts their legends from binary (urban/rural) to continuous (percentage urban).

Urban area dataset comparison on selected cities (Potere et al., 2009 IJRS) reveal large uncertainties and discrepancies

#### Urbanization change over time



• Urban area changes significantly over time (Sept 1972, Landsat 1 image, Sept 2015 Landsat 8 images compared over Las Vegas (US)

#### **Conclusion and Outlook**

• Variation in lake water fraction, land use change, urbanisation areas all affects the surface energy balance and therefore have impact on local meteorology and should be represented in NWP.

 Moderate complexity parameterizations well adapted to NWP exist already and can be explored for global forecasting applications

• However a correct representation of non-natural surfaces involves a large effort on the collection of authoritative physiographic dataset at a target resolution of 1km or better

• Initiatives in WGNE (group on surface drag, led by Ayrton Zadra) and from NOAA (uncertainty estimation, led by Tom Hamill) are aiming to collect/compare physiography datasets and would benefit from GEWEX interaction/initiatives.

• The availability of multiple dataset in a coherent form may allow to achieve internal consistency among the different dataset. An encouraging example in Tuanmo and Jetz (2014) EarthEnv dataset

- Encouraging results from multivariate use of EO data for validation/calibration (Orth et al. 2016)
- Challenging needs from reanalyses to represent surface condition over a long period of time (decades at least and up to century long) enhances even further the requirements.
- How do we advance further as a community to coordinate HRES EO dataset collection?

Thank you for your attention



## Extra slides for Q&A



## Upcoming developments: An enhanced soil vertical resolution

The model bias in Tskin amplitude shown by <u>*Trigo et al. (2015)*</u> motivated the development of an enhanced soil vertical discretisation to improve the match with satellite products.





## Impact of soil vertical resolution for satellite soil moisture



Anomaly correlation (1988-2014) measured with ESA-CCI soil moisture remote sensing (multi-sensor) product. This provide a global validation of the usefulness of increase soil vertical resolution.

#### **C**ECMWF

#### An enhanced snow vertical resolution

The snow temperature representation in a 5-layer scheme can take into account the coupling to the atmosphere and to the underlying soils with dedicated timescale that can better represent accumulation and melting.



Simulations of Snow Water Equivalent (SWE- mm) for the 2003/04 winter season at the Fraser open site (USA Rocky mountains) comparing observations (red circles) with **current** 1-layer model (BASE-black), 5-layers **new** snow model (ML5-green).



# From constant to seasonal varying (current) to NRT (future) Leaf Area Index



➔Monthly derived 8years (2000-2008) climatological (MODIS c5), Boussetta et al. 2011, current ECMWF operational and ERA5 reanalysis

 → NRT analysed LAI is able to fairly detect/monitor anomaly (IMAGINES/Copernicus Global Land product)
Boussetta et al. 2015, research version

#### Coupling and diurnal cycle: the impact of vegetation

Trigo et al. (2015, JGR in rev.), Boussetta et al. (2015, RSE)



Findings of large biases in the diurnal temperature reposed on the use of MSG Skin Temperature. However with the current model version we are limited (both over bare soil and vegetation)

