Toward Understanding the Simulated Phase Partitioning of Arctic Single-Layer Mixed-Phase Clouds in E3SM



Meng Zhang¹, Shaocheng Xie¹, Xiaohong Liu², Wuyin Lin³, Kai Zhang⁴, Hsi-Yen Ma¹, Xue Zheng¹, Yuying Zhang¹ ¹ Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, ² Texas A&M University, ³ Brookhaven National Laboratory, ⁴ Pacific Northwest National Laboratory



Background

- Mixed-phase cloud is one of the key components of the climate system. They are critical for global energy and hydrologic cycles.
- Large uncertainties exist in the simulations of mixed-phase cloud phase in General Circulation Models (GCMs):
 - Microphysical processes such as Wegener-Bergeron-Findeisen (WBF) and ice nucleation process;

Detrainment from convective clouds.

Summary of physical parameterizations in EAMv1 sensitivity experiments		
Experiment	Description	Note
CTL	E3SM Atmosphere Model version 1 (EAMv1), without WBF process tuning	Base results
Meyers	Same as CTL, but replace the CNT ice nucleation scheme with Meyers	Examine the effect of heterogeneous ice nucleation
UW_MG2	Same as CTL, but replace CLUBB by the CAM5 UW schemes (shallow convection, turbulence, and cloud macrophysics)	Examine the effect of CLUBB

Budget Analysis to Understand Source of Biases



Cloud physical parameterizations are updated in the U.S. DOE state-of-the-art E3SM model. But biases can still be identified in the phase partitioning of high-latitude mixed-phase clouds.

Objective

Understand how the changes in model parameterizations are related to the bias of highlatitude mixed-phase clouds based on a processlevel analysis

Model Experiments

- Short-term hindcasts are performed under the DOE LLNL Cloud-Associated Parameterizations Testbed (CAPT)
 - Large-scale circulations remain close to reality in the first several days of hindcasts;
 - Errors in simulated clouds are attributed to model parameterizations.



Integrated tendencies are averaged between 9-11 October 2004 during the M-PACE.



 INP concentration from different immersion freezing parameterizations between 9-11 October.

- EAMv1 runs for the Mixed-Phase Arctic Cloud Experiment (M-PACE) field campaign
 - North Slope of Alaska (NSA, 71°19'22.8"N, 156°36'32.4"W)





 ✓ Source of biases in the modeled high-latitude mixedphase clouds are analyzed utilizing a short-term hindcast approach with EAMv1;

Summary

- EAMv1 modeled Arctic mixed-phase cloud phase differs substantially from earlier GCM (e.g., CAM5) results.
 Negligible cloud ice mass mixing ratios are simulated in the single-layer boundary-layer mixed-phase clouds in M-PACE;
- ✓ The lack of initial ice particles from heterogeneous ice nucleation at temperatures warmer than -15°C or convective detrainment diminishes the cloud ice water content through subsequent ice mass growth processes.

Reference. *Zhang, et al. (2020). Toward understanding the simulated phase partitioning of arctic single-layer mixed-phase clouds in E3SM. ESS. https://doi.org/10.1029/2020EA001125*

Acknowledgment. This research was primarily supported as part of the ASR program and RGMA program and E3SM project and partially supported by the CMDV activity and the CAPT project, funded by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Biological and Environmental Research.