No decrease of tropical convection in deep convective systems with global warming

Maximilien Bolot (IPSL-CNRS, Paris) Rémy Roca, Thomas Fiolleau (LEGOS-CNRS, Toulouse) Caroline Muller (ISTA, Vienne)

GEWEX UTCC PROES Meeting, Paris May 2025



Thermally direct circulation in the tropics



- Heated from below by surface absorption of solar radiation
- Cooled from above by infrared emission to space
- Thermally direct circulation
- Ascending: deep convection converts PE into KE
- **Descending:** radiative cooling

Decrease with warming of the circulation

(simplified: no moisture effects)



- Mass flux ascending = descending (= M)
- Radiative cooling balances downward advection of energy in descending branch:

$$M\frac{\partial s}{\partial T} = \frac{\partial F_{rad}}{\partial T}$$

s = dry static energy F_{rad} = radiative flux

- When T_s increases...
- Radiative cooling stays constant between isotherms (
 ^{∂Frad}/_{∂T}
)
- Static stability increases $(\frac{\partial s}{\partial r})$
- M decreases

Decrease with warming of the circulation

(SAM version 6.11.12. 3 km resolution. RCEMIP long channel.)



Convective mass flux = multiple storms



Convective mass flux = multiple storms





The cores inside the storms do the transport





 Convective core is where transport is mostly vertical

Storms undergo a lifecycle



- Initiation, growth, decay, dissipation
- Storms propagate as they undergo their lifecycle

- SAM version 6.11.12. 3 km resolution. RCEMIP long channel
- 300K, 305K
- Storms tracked in time with the Tracking Of Organized Convection Algorithm through a 3-D segmentation (TOOCAN)

Storm number decreases with warming



- Broad decrease at all lifetimes
- Longer-lived systems disproportionately affected by surface warming
- # from 4535 to 2323

Convective mass flux tracked across systems and climates



Longer-lived systems carry more mass flux



- 12-14 hr storms carry 5x the mass flux of 6-8 hr
- Additional mass flux per hour of storm duration necessary to reconcile the observations

Mass flux dramatically increases with warming



- Broad increase at all lifetimes
- More pronounced in longer-lived systems
- Contrast with the constrained decrease in aggregated statistics

Similar trends are observed in the surface per system



- Suggests that the convective mass flux passively follows (core) surface changes
- (whether at constant climate or the change with warming)

Mass flux follows surface changes

(integrated over the distribution of lifetimes)

Mass flux



• Core surface



Mean pressure velocity in the core is resilient

Mass flux density (= pressure velocity) stays invariant

$$\frac{m}{c} = 0.3 \text{ kg m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$$

Resilience of pressure velocity is remarkable

- Mechanical work in the cores does +16.4% K⁻¹ (66 W m⁻² to 150 W m⁻²)
- Vertical velocity increases
- **Compensating decrease in pressure** as updrafts move with rising isotherms
- Also, IWP does +7.3 K⁻¹
- Convection does more work to lift the water, impeding KE generation
- Resilience of pressure velocity results from many compensating factors



- In our simulations...
- Systems become larger and mass flux per system passively follows the variations in surface
- More broadly...
- **Constrained decrease** in mass flux **need not transfer** to the scale of individual storms
- Because of unconstrained degree of liberty in storm number

Perspectives



 Storm response from obs. INCUS (NASA), WIVERN (ESA), C2OMODO & AOS (NASA/JAXA/CSA/CNES)



Mean pressure velocity (in core) also resilient when measured against lifecycle time