ETH zürich

Institute for Atmospheric and Climate Science

Identifying and Simulating Mesoscale Convective Systems in km-Scale Models

Andreas F. Prein (aprein@ethz.ch) Institute for Atmospheric and Climate Science ETH Zürich

GEWEX Upper Tropospheric Clouds and Convection Process Evaluation Study May 20, 2025





2. How to track an MCS?

3. How to simulate MCSs in numerical Models?

How many MCSs do you see?

How many MCSs do you see?

What is an MCS?

What is an MCS?



ETH zürich

We are lacking a clear definition for automatic tracking

AMS Glossary

"A cloud system that occurs in connection with an ensemble of thunderstorms and produces a contiguous precipitation area on the order of 100 km or more in horizontal scale in at least one direction." Based on Houze (2004)

In Prein et al. (2023) we agreed on:

- 1. The continuous brightness temperature ($T_{b} \le 241$ K area must be at least 40,000 km² for at least four continuous hours.
- The maximum hourly precipitation underneath the ≤241 K T_b area must be larger than 10 mm hr⁻¹ for at least 4 continuous hours.
- The hourly precipitation volume must exceed
 20,000 km² mm h⁻¹ (e.g., 100 km × 100 km × 2 mm hr⁻¹) at least once in the lifetime of the MCS
- 4. The **minimum** *T_b* must be **<225** K during the MCS lifetime to account for overshooting tops.



2. How to track an MCS?

3. How to simulate MCSs in numerical Models?

Comparing MCS Trackers on Idealized Cases



ETH zürich

Prein et al. (2023)

Comparing MCS Trackers on Idealized Cases

Comparing the Trackers on a Real Case

ETH zürich

Prein et al. (2023)

Large Variability in Initiation frequency of Mesoscale convective systems in Observations and the 4 km Model

MCS initiation ٠ frequency largely varies between trackers

 b
 9
 8
 01

 MCS in itiation frequency [nr. per

initiatior

- Some trackers result • in many small MCSs while others have few large storms
- The MCS initiation evaluation also heavily depends on the tracker

4 km model

Model -

MCS precipitation contribution is also tracker sensitive but model biases are robust

- The MCS contribution to the total precipitation is very sensitive to the tracker formulation
- However, model biases in this metric are more robust.

MCS Development-characteristics are Tracker Sensitive but Model Biases are Largely Insensitive

Convection in the Amazon Basin on a Typical Wet Season Day

GPM IMERG v6 is used as ground truth

Freitas et al. 2020 (JoH)

- IMERG v6 is able to capture precipitation rates compared to stations in Brazil
- large overestimations of rainfall duration and underestimations of intensity

Convection in the Amazon Basin on a Typical Wet Season Day

WRF 3 km Test Simulation

GPM IMERG v6

GPM IMERG v6 is used as ground truth

Freitas et al. 2020 (JoH)

- IMERG v6 is able to capture precipitation rates compared to stations in Brazil
- large overestimations of rainfall duration and underestimations of intensity

Simulation of Hourly Precipitation Frequencies

4 km WRF simulations downscaling ERA5 over South America (June 2019 – May 2020)

10°N

0°

10°S

20°S

30°S

40°S

50°S

Mesoscale Convective Systems tracking Method Intercomparison (MCSMIP)

Feng et al. (2024)

MCSMIP extends the South American Study to the Globe by evaluating the global DYAMOND storm resolving models.

2. How to track an MCS?

3. How to simulate MCSs in numerical Models?

Δx Sensitivity of Idealized MCSs

Simulation of Well Observed Mesoscale Convective Systems in the Southern Great Plains

Outgoing longwave radiation at:

12 km grid spacing

4 km grid spacing

125 m grid spacing

1,000,000 times cheaper

30,000 times cheaper

ETH zürich

Which grid spacing is sufficient?

Prein et al. (2025)

Heus and Jonker (2008)

Stanford et al. (2020) show that the undermixing of updrafts at km-scales is difficult to improve.

400

.6°5

Equivalent Potential Temperature [K]

1) Derive vertical velocity output in observations and model data

Radar Wind Profiler observations

Southern Great Plains

GoAmazon (Manaus)

Derive vertical velocity output in observations and model data
 Identify draft objects as objects (using MOAAP)

- 1) Derive vertical velocity output in observations and model data
- 2) Identify draft objects as objects (using MOAAP)
- 3) Calculate grid spacing sensitivity of core characteristics

Drafts are wider in coarser models

Horizontal grid spacing [m]

- 1) Derive vertical velocity output in observations and model data
- 2) Identify draft objects as objects (using MOAAP)
- 3) Calculate grid spacing sensitivity of core characteristics

Vertical Kinetic Energy Spectra Show Artificial Energy Buildup in Under Resolved Simulations

Vertical kinetic energy spectra

4 km Grid Spacing is Under-resolving Convective **Dynamics but can Capture Bulk Propoerties**

4 km grid spacing

ETH zürich

Storm average bulk properties are surprisingly well simulated at 4 km compared to sub-km simulations. This is partly due to error cancelations.

250 m grid spacing

f) 250M

Prein et al. (2025)

Error Cancelation Effects

Error Cancelation Effects

Kukulies et al. (in review)

Key Takeaways

- **1. km-scale models revolutionize MCS research**, though critical small-scale processes remain under-resolved.
- 2. Robust **feature identification and tracking methods** are key to objectively evaluate and compare model performance across scales and observational datasets.
- 3. Further **improving connections** between **observational and modeling weather and climate communities** would help to accelerate advancements

What is Next?

1. Improved understanding of MCS interactions in fully coupled system (ocean, land, atmosphere, biosphere).

What is Next?

- 1. Improved understanding of MCS interactions in fully coupled system (ocean, land, atmosphere, biosphere).
- 2. More holistic view of the role of MCSs in the global climate system.
- 1. Surface cyclones
- 2. Mid-level cyclones
- **3.** Cut off lows
- 4. Tropical cyclones
- 5. Jet streams
- 6. Anticyclones
- 7. Atmospheric rivers
- 8. Mesoscale Convective Systems
- 9. Fronts
- 10. Equatorial Rossby waves
- 11. Kelvin Waves
- 12. Eastward inertia gravity waves
- 13. Inertia gravity waves
- 14. Mixed Rossby gravity waves

Thank you!

Run the Interactive Tutorial on Google Colab

Grid Spacing Sensitivity Simulations With WRF Model

- Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF) model
- Downscale ERA5 to
 - **∆**x=12 km
 - **∆**x=4 km
 - **∆**x=2 km
 - **∆**x=1 km
- Downscale 1 km sim. to
 - **∆**x=500 m
 - **∆**x=250 m
 - **∆**x=125 m
- Optimized physiks options (<u>Prein et al. 2022</u>)
- LES options used in 250 m and 125 m simulaitons

Which grid spacing is sufficient?

Vertical velocity at ~7 km altitude during the MCS overpass on June 12, 2014 over Southern Great Plains.

ETH zürich Prein et al. (2025, JGR-A)

vertical wind speed $[m s^{-1}]$

Which grid spacing is sufficient?

vertical wind speed $[m s^{-1}]$

Grid Spacing Sensitivity Simulations With WRF Model **Southern Great Plains Example**

Time-height Crossections

10

5

Grid Spacing Sensitivity Simulations With WRF Model **Amazon Basin Example**

5

Prein et al. (2025, JGR-A)

ARM