### Simple microphysical changes improve cirrus representation in cloud resolving models Blaž Gasparini<sup>1</sup>, Peter N. Blossey<sup>2</sup>, Martina Krämer<sup>3</sup> Contact: *blaz.gasparini@univie.ac.at* <sup>1</sup> University of Vienna, <sup>2</sup> University of Washington, <sup>3</sup> Forschungszentrum Jülich

### Why and What

(Global) cloud resolving models perform poorly in the representation of tropical cirrus. Most cannot reproduce the observed tropical microphysical and optical properties, leading to substantial radiative biases that decrease the confidence in their climate projections.

In this work we modified the P3 microphysical scheme in SAM cloud resolving model to correctly represent tropical high cloud microphysical properties.





### Acknowledgements

PNB acknowledges support from the NSF under grant OISE-1743753

Distinguishing cloud types based on the time after deep convective detrainment

An additional passive tracer of buoyant cloudy updraft parcels provides a robust metric allowing to distinguish between in-situ and convectiveorigin cirrus clouds.



## Conclusions

# SAM model and simulation details

- Horizontal resolution of 2 km
- Upper tropospheric vertical resolution of 200 m
- P3 microphysical scheme with homogeneous freezing and pre-existing ice as per Shi et al., 2015
- Increased default upper **ICNC** limit

1. Simple changes to the ice microphysics substantially improve cloud resolving simulations of tropical cirrus

2. Convective age tracer helps in the interpretation of model biases and in the analysis of the anvil cloud lifecycle

3. The ice crystal number – ice crystal mass radius phase space can intuitively distinguish between different cirrus types

Tropical channel setup as per Blossey et al., 2010

